



010110001101011101000110010110110011101010101110001010101001100101101101110001110001010
001101010111010000110110101011100110101011110110010101101011001
00110101001001100101100001011010001101011101000101101001110010101001110110010101101000010101010001010
101010100001011010011100101110100001011010011100101011101011001

CYBERSECURITY OT&E

10010010110101100011010111010001100101101100111010101011110001010101001100101101101110001110001010
101101001101010111010000110110101011100110101011110110010101101011001
011100010100011010100100110010110000101101000110101110100010110100111001010101101000010101010001010
101011101010100001011010011100101110100001011010011100101011101011001



DOT&E Cybersecurity Roles

- Cybersecurity OT&E of systems during acquisition
 - DOT&E Memo “*Procedures for the Operational Test and Evaluation of Cybersecurity in Acquisition Programs*” (1 Aug 2014)
 - Specifies a two-phase OT&E: *Cooperative Vulnerability and Penetration Assessment* followed by an *Adversarial Assessment*
 - Goal: Identify all significant vulnerabilities and operational impact
- Cybersecurity operational assessments
 - Congressional mandate (FY03 Defense Approps Act, Oct 2002)
 - Conduct cybersecurity assessments at CCMDs and Services during major exercises
 - Over 200 assessments conducted since 2003
 - Aggregate results analyzed annually and reported
 - Most recent report (Jan 2017) included summary of demonstrated best practices from CCMDs
- Cybersecurity ranges and training
 - CMF/CPT Training support





DOT&E Cybersecurity Findings

- DOD missions are currently at risk from cyber adversaries
 - Combatant Commands and Service authorities have yet to consistently show that critical missions can be assured in the presence of intermediate or advanced cyber adversaries.
- Cyber adversaries are developing advanced cyber intrusion techniques
 - Determined cyber adversaries can acquire a foothold in most DOD networks
- It is critical that DOD network defenders and system operators learn to “fight through” cyber attacks, just as they are trained to fight through more conventional, kinetic attacks



DOT&E Cybersecurity Findings (2)

- Both acquisition and exercise events point to the same findings:
 - Network defenders are not adequately trained, equipped or available
 - Passwords and other credentials are readily accessible to intruders
 - Software is not up-to-date
 - Software is not configured properly for security
 - Networks and applications have services and capabilities that are easily exploited
- Most cybersecurity vulnerabilities discovered during OT could have / should have been discovered during EMD/DT
 - 90% of all cybersecurity findings FY12-FY14 did not require operational testing to discover



DOT&E Cybersecurity Findings (3)

- Skilled network defenders and conscientious users, supported by a “hygenic,” well-protected network, can defeat cyber attacks
- In FY14, the Transportation Command quickly detected and effectively responded to multiple attacks by an intermediate-level cyber red team. TRANSCOM demonstrated key cybersecurity tenets:
 - Implementation and enforcement of strong passwords and password storage
 - Hardening of outward-facing servers
 - Consistent review of network logs using automated scripts to detect possible intrusions
 - Effective incident response and reporting processes
- Because of TRANSCOMs effective defense and response, the red team was unable to impact the missions on TRANSCOM’s network.
- Most recent DOT&E cyber report notes good cyber defense demonstrated in some other Combatant Commands



What Makes a Good Test?

A good test results in the resolution of shortfalls and identify the reasons some shortfalls persist. It needs:

- **A Representative System**: the system must be equivalent to the system that will be fielded, and fielded in a way that is consistent with the operational CONOPs
- **The Representative Threat**: the system must be assessed for the ability to “fight through” while exposed to the cyber threats that have been identified for the system and/or network
- **Representative users**: the system must be tested while being operated by typical users with typical levels of training and inherent expertise
- **Deconfliction**: the cybersecurity tests should be deconflicted from other test objectives so that the findings are not constrained or limited.
- **Time**: the test needs to be long enough to meet data requirements



Problems With Representative Systems

- Platform shortages:
 - The typical platform is not available due to operations or a mismatch in delivery schedules
- Configuration issues:
 - The software is not locked (still open to revisions)
 - The software is still a developmental load
 - The software is not the version that will be fielded
- Environmental/Architectural issues:
 - The software is not installed on an operationally representative network (or there is not representative network available)



1001001011010110001101
1011010011010101
01110001010001101010010011
101011101010100

1100101101101110001110001010
10110010101101011001
0110010101101000010101010001010
11100101011101011001



Threat Challenges

- Asset shortages – not enough Red/Blue Teams available
 - Expansion of operational cyber teams is hurting the availability of skilled cyber teams for acquisition testing
- Intelligence and enumeration:
 - The test teams must conduct extensive discovery of the network and systems to accommodate testing
 - System Threat Assessment Reports (STARs) do not cover
- Execution issues:
 - Permissions: Tests require ground rules for Red Teams; but they cannot be too restrictive.
 - Makes “fighting through” attacks difficult to assess
 - Safety: software decertification risks; open networks





Challenges With Other Resources

- Representative users not available:
 - Appropriate inclusion of higher echelon (Tier 2 and Tier 1) cyber defenders often difficult
 - Many users are not trained to distinguish cyber effects from simple malfunctions or maintenance issues
- Cyber tests can conflict with other test events:
 - Cannot combine flight hours / availability tests with cyber tests that may make the aircraft software unsafe
 - Need to set aside specific opportunities to demonstrate cyber mission effects
- Timing is everything:
 - The best test results in fixing things – cannot accomplish if the testing phases are too close together
 - Duration of the test is too short to depict the full threat



Potential Solutions

- Cyber ranges
 - “Safe sandbox” ranges allow depiction of more aggressive/realistic threats and more realistic cyber defenses
 - Ability to demonstrate cyber mission effects without adversely affecting an operational platform
- Persistent Cyber Threats
 - Extends exposure of Red/Blue activities
 - Allows for re-use of key architecture assessments
 - Requires extensive prior coordination, but less event coordination
- Dedicated test systems / events
 - While more resource-intensive, dedicated cybersecurity test articles and test events allow rapid completion of tests without interference with other objectives